

From: CHerUbiXGuRLie@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/27/02 4:20pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Lisa Luo
01-28-01

The only reason that the U.S. versus Microsoft case came about is because of the word, "success". Because of Microsoft's great dominance in the computer world, many competitors are expectedly intended to cripple the company. In some ways, Microsoft is expected to be charged since they had "destroyed competition in the market for Internet browsers", according to a federal trial court. Microsoft actions such as "delivering a web browser with its Windows software packages" undermines many companies such as Netscape's monopoly power. If two similar products are placed before me and one of them was packaged with an extra item, I would grab for that product. Who wouldn't want free items?! Microsoft's fault of continuous prosper should be controlled to prevent serious consequences.

In this technological advancing world, many competitors of the economy strive to dominate all by having the best of the best. I think the limits of Microsoft's conduct from the revised proposed final judgment is very suitable to prevent a single dominance and to have a world of equal attempts to gain and profit. Microsoft should be controlled so there wouldn't be an ultimate consequence of "misuse [in] its operating system monopoly to artificially exclude browser competition and deprive customers of a free choice between browsers". Microsoft should also, "allow applications to run in multiple operating systems", so competition would revive. Leaving some space for other companies to strive in some way will provide everyone with "economic freedom".

CC: Jqchick@aol.com@inetgw